arXiv:1409.6097v1 [math.AG] 22 Sep 2014

GEOMETRIC MITOSIS

VALENTINA KIRITCHENKO

Abstract. We describe an elementary convex geometric algorithm for realizing Schubert cycles in complete flag varieties by unions of faces of polytopes. For GL_n and Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes, combinatorics of this algorithm coincides with that of the mitosis on pipe dreams introduced by Knutson and Miller. For Sp_4 and a Newton–Okounkov polytope of the symplectic flag variety, the algorithm yields a new combinatorial rule that extends to Sp_{2n}.

1. Introduction

Positive presentations of Schubert cycles such as classical Schubert polynomials play a key role in the Schubert calculus. Ideas of toric geometry and theory of Newton (or moment) polytopes motivated search for positive presentations with a more convex geometric flavor. For instance, Schubert cycles on the complete flag variety for GL_n were identified by various means with unions of faces of Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes [Ko, KoM, K10, KST]. In the present paper, we develop an algorithm for representing Schubert cycles by faces of convex polytopes in the case of complete flag varieties for arbitrary reductive groups.

Let G be a connected reductive group, $B \subset G$ a Borel subgroup, and $X = G/B$ the complete flag variety. There are several partially overlapping classes of polytopes that can be associated with ample line bundles on X (see e.g. [BZ, L, GK, Ka13, K13]). For instance, string polytopes of Berenstein–Zelevinsky and Littelmann were recently exhibited in [Ka13] as Newton–Okounkov polytopes of flag varieties for a certain B-invariant valuation on X. These polytopes usually form families $P_\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (where $d := \dim G/B$) parameterized by dominant weights λ of G, and satisfy the property $|P_\lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| = \dim V_\lambda$ where V_λ is the irreducible G-module with the highest weight λ. Moreover, there is a projection $p : \mathbb{R}^d \to \Lambda_G \otimes \mathbb{R}$ (where Λ_G is the weight lattice of G) such that the Weyl character $\chi(V_\lambda)$ can be expressed as the multiplicity free sum over the lattice points in P_λ:

$$\chi(V_\lambda) = \sum_{x \in P_\lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{p(x)}.$$

Key words and phrases. Demazure operator, flag variety, Newton–Okounkov polytope, Schubert calculus.

The author was supported by AG Laboratory NRU HSE, MESRF grants ag. 11.G34.31.0023 and MK-983.2013.1, and RScF grant 14-21-00053.

Recall that ample line bundles L_λ on X are in bijective correspondence with irreducible representations V_λ of G, and $H^0(X, L_\lambda) = V_\lambda^*$. Let X_w be a Schubert variety, i.e., the closure of a B-orbit in G, and $\chi_w(\lambda) := \chi(H^0(X_w, L_\lambda|_{X_w})^*)$ the corresponding Demazure character. A natural way to identify X_w with a union of faces $\mathfrak{S}_w \subset P_\lambda$ is to choose $\mathfrak{S}_w$ so that the following identity for holds for all λ:

$$\chi_w(\lambda) = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{S}_w \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{p(x)}.$$

Demazure characters can be calculated inductively starting from the class of a point $X_{\mathrm{id}} = \{pt\}$ (that is, $\chi_{\mathrm{id}}(\lambda) = e^\lambda$), and applying Demazure operators $D_1,\dots,D_r$ corresponding to the simple roots of G. In this paper, we define geometric mitosis operations $M_1,\dots, M_r$ on faces of P_λ as convex geometric counterparts of Demazure operators $D_1,\dots, D_r$, that is, they satisfy the identity

$$D_i\left(\sum_{x \in \mathfrak{S}_w \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{p(x)}\right) = \sum_{x \in M_i(\mathfrak{S}_w) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{p(x)}.$$

whenever $l(s_i w) = l(w) + 1$.

The definition of mitosis operations is elementary, and its main ingredient is mitosis on parallelepipeds introduced in [KST, Section 6]. Mitosis on parallelepipeds can be viewed as a geometric realization of the mitosis of Knutson–Miller [KnM, M] restricted to two consecutive rows of pipe dreams. We use mitosis on parallelepipeds as a building block for mitosis on more general polytopes P_λ (called *parapolytopes*) that admit r different fibrations by parallelepipeds. Though the building blocks considered individually are combinatorially the same their arrangement depends significantly on the combinatorics of P_λ. For instance, for Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes we get mitosis on usual pipe dreams, and for a polytope associated with the cone of adapted strings in type C (see Section 5) we get different combinatorial objects called *skew pipe dreams*.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall mitosis on parallelepipeds and its relation to Demazure-type operators, and define geometric mitosis on parapolytopes. In Section 3, we consider parapolytopes associated with reductive groups and prove Theorem 3.4 that relates Demazure operators with geometric mitosis. In Corollary 3.6, we give an algorithm for generating faces that represent a given Demazure character (or equivalently, a given Schubert cycle). In Section 4, we apply the results of the preceding sections to Sp_4 and the symplectic DDO polytope $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ constructed in [K13]. We prove that $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ can be realized as the Newton–Okounkov body of the flag variety Sp_4/B and the line bundle L_λ for a natural geometric valuation considered in [An, Ka13]. Next, we outline how results of [Ka11, KST] can be used to model the Schubert calculus on Sp_4/B by intersecting faces of $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$. In Section 5, we describe combinatorics of geometric mitosis, in particular, define mitosis on skew pipe dreams in type C that generalize combinatorics of mitosis for $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$. We also formulate open questions.

I am grateful to Dave Anderson, Megumi Harada and Kiumars Kaveh for useful discussions.

2. Mitosis on polytopes

In this section, we define a convex-geometric operation (*geometric mitosis*) on faces of polytopes that models Demazure operators from representation theory. The definition is elementary and reduces to the case of parallelepipeds, which we discuss first. For special classes of polytopes associated with reductive groups, geometric mitosis has algebro-geometric and representation-theoretic meaning. This will be discussed in the next section.

2.1. **Mitosis on parallelepipeds.** First, recall the *mitosis on parallelepipeds* (or *paramitosis*) from [KST, Section 6] using more geometric terms. Let $\Pi := \Pi(\mu, \nu) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a parallelepiped given by inequalities $\mu_i \le x_i \le \nu_i$ for $i = 1,\dots, n$. In what follows, we will only consider parallelepipeds of this kind. They will be called *coordinate parallelpipeds*.

Definition 1. An edge of Π is *essential* if it is given by equations

$$x_1 = \mu_1, \dots, x_{i-1} = \mu_{i-1}; \quad x_{i+1} = \nu_{i+1}, \dots, x_n = \nu_n.$$

Clearly, the number of essential edges is equal to $\dim \Pi$, and the union of essential edges forms a broken line that connects the vertices $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$. Denote the set of essential edges of Π by $E(\Pi)$.

For every face $\Gamma \subset \Pi$, we now define a collection of faces $M(\Gamma)$. Let k be the minimal number such that $\Gamma \subseteq \{x_i = \mu_i\}$ for all $i > k$ (in particular, $\Gamma \nsubseteq \{x_k = \mu_k\}$) and $\nu_i \ne \mu_i$ for at least one $i > k$. If no such k exists then $M(\Gamma) = \varnothing$. Under the isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$; $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_k) \times (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$ the parallelepiped Π gets mapped to $\Pi' \times \Pi''$ where $\Pi' \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\Pi'' \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ are coordinate parallelepipeds. The face Γ gets mapped to $\Gamma' \times v$ where $v = (\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_n)$ is a vertex of Π'' and $\Gamma' \subset \Pi'$ is a face of Π'.

Definition 2. The set $M(\Gamma)$ (called the *mitosis* of Γ) consists of all faces $\Gamma' \times E$ such that E is an essential edge of Π''.

In particular, $\dim \Delta = \dim \Gamma + 1$ for any $\Delta \in M(\Gamma)$. It is easy to check that $M^2(\Gamma) = \varnothing$ for any face Γ. Here is the key example of mitosis.

Example 2.1. If Γ is the vertex $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$, then $M(\Gamma)$ is the set of essential edges of Π.

This geometric version of mitosis is similar to the combinatorial mitosis of [KnM]. To see this represent every face of $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ by a $2 \times n$ table $(a_{ij})_{i=1,2,\ 1\le j\le n}$ whose cells are either filled with $+$ or empty. Namely, the face satisfies the equality $x_i = \mu_i$ or $x_i = \nu_i$ if and only if $a_{1i} = +$ or $a_{2i} = +$, respectively (in particular, if $\mu_i = \nu_i$ then the i-th column has two $+$). On the level of tables, operation M coincides the

mitosis M_i of [KnM] on reduced pipe dreams restricted to the rows i and $i+1$ after reflecting our tables in a vertical line (cf. [M, Definition 6]).

Example 2.2. If $\Pi(\mu,\nu)\subset\mathbb{R}^4$, where $\mu=(1,1,1,1)$ and $\nu=(2,2,1,2)$ (that is, $\mu_3=\nu_3$), then the edge $\Gamma=\{\ x_2=\mu_2,\ x_4=\mu_4\}$ is represented by the table

	+	+	+
		+	

The set $M(\Gamma)$ consists of two edges represented by the tables

	+	+	
		+	

&

		+	
		+	+

The combinatorial notion of *chute moves* and *ladder moves* on reduced RC-graphs or pipe dreams introduced in [BB] (cf. [M, Definition 8]) can also be extended to the geometric setting as follows. For a partition $J=(0\le j_1<\ldots<j_{k-1}<j_k\le n)$, let $p_{J,i}$ denote the projection $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\mapsto(x_{j_i+1},\ldots,x_{j_{i+1}})$ for $i=1,\ldots,k-1$. For $i=0$ and $i=k$ the projections $p_{J,i}$ are defined by $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\mapsto(x_1,\ldots,x_{j_1})$ and $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\mapsto(x_{j_k+1},\ldots,x_n)$, respectively.

Definition 3. A face $\Gamma\subset\Pi$ is called *reduced* if there is a partition $J(\Gamma)$ such that $p_{J,1}(\Gamma)$ and $p_{J,k+1}(\Gamma)$ are the vertices $(\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_{j_1-1})$ and $(\mu_{j_k+1},\ldots,\mu_n)$, respectively, and $p_{J,i}(\Gamma)\in E(p_{J,i}(\Pi))$ for any $i=2,\ldots,k$.

A partition $J(\Gamma)$ is unique if we ignore all indices $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that $\mu_i=\nu_i$. In the language of [M], the partition $J(\Gamma)$ corresponds to the decomposition of pipe dreams into *introns*. The length of $J(\Gamma)$ is equal to $\dim\Gamma+2$.

Definition 4. Two reduced faces Γ and Γ' are said to be *L-equivalent* if $J(\Gamma)=J(\Gamma')$. Denote by $L(\Gamma)$ the set of all reduced faces equivalent to Γ.

Example 2.3. Take $\Pi(\mu,\nu)\subset\mathbb{R}^5$, where $\mu=(1,1,1,1,1)$ and $\nu=(2,2,1,2,2)$. The face $\Gamma=\{x_1=\mu_1;\ x_4=\nu_4\}$ of dimension 2 is reduced with respect to the partition $(0,4,5)$. The set $L(\Gamma)$ consists of three faces represented by the tables

+	+	+		
		+		

+		+		
		+	+	

$(=\Gamma)$

		+		
	+	+	+	

.

Remark 2.4. There is a bijection between L-equivalence classes and faces of the standard simplex (see [KST, Proposition 6.6]), which yields a minimal realization of the simplex as a cubic complex. Using this bijection it is not hard to check that for any face $\Gamma\subset\Pi$ the mitosis applied to faces in $L(\Gamma)$ produces a single L–equivalence class, i.e.,

$$\bigcup_{\substack{F\in L(\Gamma)\\ E\in M(F)}} E=L(\Gamma')$$

for any $\Gamma'\in M(\Gamma)$ (see [KST, Remark 6.7] for more details).

Definitions of $M(\Gamma)$ and $L(\Gamma)$ are motivated by the identity [KST, Proposition 6.8] for a Demazure-type operator applied to an exponential sum over Γ. We briefly recall this identity (for more details see [KST, Section 6]). Let $s : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a reflection about

$$C := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mu_i + \nu_i),$$

that is, $s(k) = 2C - k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The reflection s acts on the Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ by $s(t^k) = t^{s(k)}$. Define the operator T_Π on $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ by the formula

$$T_\Pi f = \frac{f - t \cdot s(f)}{1 - t}.$$

It is not hard to see that, for every Laurent polynomial f, the function $T_\Pi f$ is also a Laurent polynomial. The operator T_Π depends on the parallelepiped $\Pi = \Pi(\mu, \nu)$.

For a subset $A \subset \Pi(\mu, \nu)$, we define the Laurent polynomial $\chi(A) := \sum_{x \in A \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} t^{\sigma(x)} \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ where $\sigma(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$.

Proposition 2.5. [KST, Proposition 6.8] *Let Γ be a reduced face of Π such that Γ contains the vertex $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$. Then*

$$T_\Pi \chi \left(\bigcup_{F \in L(\Gamma)} F \right) = \chi \left(\bigcup_{\substack{F \in L(\Gamma) \\ E \in M(F)}} E \right).$$

Example 2.6. The simplest but crucial example is $\Gamma = \{(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)\}$. Then $L(\Gamma) = \{\Gamma\}$ and $M(\Gamma) = E(\Pi)$. Hence, $\chi(\Gamma) = t^{\sigma(\mu)}$ and $\chi(\bigcup_{E \in M(\Gamma)} E) = \sum_{i=\sigma(\mu)}^{\sigma(\nu)} t^i$. The above proposition reduces to the geometric progression sum formula:

$$\frac{t^{\sigma(\mu)} - t \cdot t^{\sigma(\nu)}}{1 - t} = \sum_{i=\sigma(\mu)}^{\sigma(\nu)} t^i.$$

It is not hard to deduce Proposition 2.5 from this partial case.

In what follows, we sometimes denote mitosis on parallelepipeds by M_Π to indicate which parallelepiped Π to consider.

2.2. **Mitosis on parapolytopes.** We now use mitosis on parallelpipeds to define mitosis on a more general class of polytopes, namely, on *parapolytopes*. Consider the space with the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{d_r}$$

and choose coordinates $x = (x_1^1, \ldots, x_{d_1}^1; \ldots; x_1^r, \ldots, x_{d_r}^r)$ with respect to this decomposition.

Definition 5. A convex polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called a *parapolytope* if for any $i = 1,\dots, r$, and any vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the intersection of P with the parallel translate of $\mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ by c is either empty or the parallel translate of a coordinate parallelepiped in $\mathbb{R}^{d_i}$, i.e.,

$$P \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i}) = c + \Pi(\mu_c, \nu_c)$$

for μ_c and ν_c that depend on c.

Example 2.7. Consider the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{R}$ (that is, $r = n-1$ and $d = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$). Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be a non-decreasing collection of real numbers. For every λ, define the *Gelfand–Zetlin polytope* $\mathcal{GZ}_\lambda$ by the inequalities

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
\lambda_1 & & \lambda_2 & & \lambda_3 & & & \dots & & & & & \lambda_n \\
& x_1^1 & & x_2^1 & & & \dots & & & & x_{n-1}^1 & & \\
& & x_1^2 & & \dots & & & & & x_{n-2}^2 & & & \\
& & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & & & & \\
& & & & x_1^{n-2} & & & x_2^{n-2} & & & & & \\
& & & & & & x_1^{n-1} & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

where the notation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & a & \quad b \\ & c & \end{array}$$

means $a \le c \le b$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{GZ}_\lambda$ is a parapolytope.

If $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a parapolytope then we can define r different mitosis operations $M_1,\dots, M_r$ on faces of P. These operations come from mitosis on parallelepipeds $P_\lambda \cap (c+\mathbb{R}^{d_1}),\dots, P_\lambda \cap (c+\mathbb{R}^{d_r})$, respectively. For a polytope $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, denote by Γ° the relative interior of Γ, i.e., Γ° consists of all points of Γ that do not lie in faces of smaller dimension.

Definition 6. Let $i = 1,\dots, r$, and Γ a face of P. Choose $c \in \Gamma^\circ$. Put $\Pi_c := P \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i})$ and $\Gamma_c := \Gamma \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i})$. The set $M_i(\Gamma)$ consists of all faces $\Delta \subset P$ such that Δ° contains F° for some $F \in M_{\Pi_c}(\Gamma_c)$. Here M_{Π_c} is the mitosis on the parallelepiped Π_c (see Definition 2).

It is easy to check that $M_i(\Gamma)$ does not depend on the choice of $c \in \Gamma^\circ$. Similarly, we can define the *L-class* $L_i(\Gamma)$ if Γ_c is reduced.

Definition 7. Let $i = 1,\dots, r$, and Γ a face of P. We say that Γ is *L_i-reduced* if $\Gamma_c := \Gamma \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i})$ is reduced for some $c \in \Gamma^\circ$.

Example 2.8. Consider Example 2.7 for $n = 3$. There will be two mitosis operations M_1, M_2. Let us apply compositions of M_1 and M_2 to the vertex $a_\lambda = \{x_1^1 = x_1^2 = \lambda_1;\ x_2^1 = \lambda_2\}$ (i.e., the vertex with the lowest sum of coordinates). The resulting faces will all contain a_λ, and hence, can be encoded by the following table:

$+ \Leftrightarrow x_1^1 = \lambda_1$	$+ \Leftrightarrow x_2^1 = \lambda_2$
	$+ \Leftrightarrow x_1^2 = \lambda_1$

, e.g. the face $\{x_1^1 = \lambda_1\}$ is encoded by

$+$	

.

Applying Definition 6 repeatedly, we get





From a combinatorial viewpoint, this is exactly mitosis on pipe dreams of [KnM] (after reflecting our diagrams in a vertical line). For arbitrary n, geometric mitosis on $\mathcal{GZ}_\lambda$ also yields combinatorial mitosis on pipe dreams (see [KST, Section 6.3]).

We now consider an example where geometric mitosis produces a new combinatorial rule.

Example 2.9. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, where λ_1 and λ_2 are positive real numbers. In [K13, Example 3.4], convex-geometric divided difference operators were used to construct the following symplectic DDO polytope $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$:

$$0 \le y_1 \le \lambda_1, \quad y_2 \le y_1 + \lambda_2, \quad y_3 \le 2y_2,$$

$$y_3 \le y_2 + \lambda_2, \quad 0 \le y_4 \le \lambda_2, \quad y_4 \le \frac{y_3}{2}.$$

As can be readily seen from the inequalities, it is a parapolytope with respect to the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $x_1^1 = y_1$, $x_1^2 = y_2$, $x_2^1 = y_3$, $x_2^2 = y_4$. Hence, there are two mitosis operations M_1 and M_2. Again, let us apply compositions of M_1 and M_2 to the lowest (with respect to the sum of coordinates) vertex $0 \in \mathcal{SP}_\lambda$. The faces of $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ that contain 0 can be encoded by the following diagram:



, e.g. $\{y_1 = 0,\ y_3 = 2y_2\}$ is encoded by .

By Definition 6 we get





The combinatorics of the last example can be extended to the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{r^2} = \mathbb{R}^r \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2r-2} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2r-4} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ (see Section 5).

3. Geometric mitosis and Demazure operators

In this section, we discuss the relation between geometric mitosis, Demazure operators and Schubert calculus. We introduce a special class of parapolytopes associated with reductive groups. In particular, Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes and, more generally, polytopes constructed in [K13, Section 3] via convex-geometric divided difference operators belong to this class.

Let G be a connected reductive group of semisimple rank r. Let $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r$ denote simple roots of G, and $s_1,\dots,s_r$ the corresponding simple reflections. Fix a reduced decomposition $\underline{w_0} = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_d}$ of the longest element w_0 of the Weyl group of G. Let d_i be the number of s_{i_j} in this decomposition such that $i_j = i$. Consider the space

$$\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{d_r}.$$

As before, we choose coordinates $x = (x_1^1,\dots,x_{d_1}^1;\dots;x_1^r,\dots,x_{d_r}^r)$ with respect to this decomposition. We will also use an alternative labeling of coordinates $(y_1,\dots,y_d)$ where

$$y_{d-j+1} = x_{p_j}^{i_j}$$

for $p_j := \{k \geq j \mid s_{i_k} = s_{i_j}\}$.

Example 3.1. (a) Let $G = GL_n$ and $\underline{w_0} = (s_1)(s_2s_1)(s_3s_2s_1)\dots(s_{n-1}\dots s_1)$. Then $r = n-1$, $d = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{R}$. The labelings of coordinates are related as follows:

$$(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d) = (x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^{n-1}; x_2^1, x_2^2, \dots, x_2^{n-2}; \dots; x_1^{n-1}).$$

(b) Let $G = Sp_4$ and $\underline{w_0} = s_2s_1s_2s_1$ (the symplectic DDO polytope $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ was constructed in [K13, Example 3.4] using this decomposition). Then $r = 2$, $d = 4$, $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$, and

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (x_1^1, x_1^2, x_2^1, x_2^2)$$

exactly as in Example 2.9.

Put $\sigma_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} x_j^i$. Let Λ_G denote the weight lattice of G. Define the projection p of $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\Lambda_G \otimes \mathbb{R}$ by the formula $p(x) = \sigma_1(x)\alpha_1 + \ldots + \sigma_r(x)\alpha_r$. In what follows, we always assume that P lies in the positive octant and contains the origin, that is, the origin is the vertex of P with the minimal sum of coordinates. Let λ be a dominant weight of G. In what follows, we identify $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{d_i}} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{d_r}$.

Definition 8. Let $i \in \{1,\dots,r\}$. A parapolytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called (λ, i)-*balanced* if for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ we have

$$\sigma_i(\mu_c) + \sigma_i(\nu_c) = (-w_0\lambda - p(c), \alpha_i),$$

where $(\cdot, \alpha_i)$ is a coroot, i.e., is defined by the identity $s_i(\chi) = \chi - (\chi, \alpha_i)\alpha_i$ for all χ in the weight lattice.

Example 3.2. We continue Example 3.1.

(a) Let $a_\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1};\ \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}; \dots; \lambda_1)$ be the lowest vertex of the Gelfand–Zetlin polytope $\mathcal{GZ}_\lambda$ (see Example 2.7). Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ denote the fundamental weights of SL_n. It is easy to check that the parallel translate $\mathcal{GZ}_\lambda - a_\lambda$ of the Gelfand–Zetlin polytope is (λ, i)-balanced for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\omega_1 + \ldots + (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})\omega_1$.

(b) Let λ be a strictly dominant weight of Sp_4. Let α_1 denote the shorter root, and α_2 the longer one. Put $\lambda_i = (\lambda, \alpha_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. It is easy to check that the symplectic DDO polytope $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ from Example 2.9 is (λ, i)-balanced for $i = 1, 2$.

Definition 9. A parapolytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called λ-*balanced* if it is (λ, i)-balanced for any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$

In particular, the polytopes considered in Examples 3.2 are λ-balanced. For certain $\underline{w_0}$, one can construct λ-balanced polytopes using an elementary convex-geometric algorithm that mimics divided difference operators (see [K13, Theorem 3.6] for more details), e.g. Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes and the symplectic DDO polytope $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ can be constructed this way. Another source of λ-balanced polytopes might be provided by Newton–Okounkov polytopes of flag varieties for certain valuations. For instance, $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ can also be realized as the Newton–Okounkov polytope of the flag variety of Sp_4 for a geometric valuation associated with $\underline{w_0}$ (see Section 4).

Remark 3.3. The symplectic DDO polytope $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ has 11 vertices, hence, it is not combinatorially equivalent to string polytopes for Sp_4 and $\underline{w_0} = s_1s_2s_1s_2$ or $s_2s_1s_2s_1$ defined in [L] (the latter have 12 vertices).

If P_λ is a λ-balanced parapolytope, then geometric mitosis on P_λ is compatible with the action of Demazure operators $D_{\alpha_1}, \dots, D_{\alpha_r}$ on the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_G]$. Let α be a root of G. Recall that D_α acts on $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_G]$ as follows:

$$D_\alpha e^\mu = \frac{e^\mu - e^\alpha e^{s_i(\mu)}}{1 - e^\alpha}.$$

For a subset $A \subset P_\lambda$, denote by A_c the intersection $A \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i})$. Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \widehat{\mathbb{R}^{d_i}} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{d_r}$ be the projection that forgets coordinates $(x_1^i, \dots, x_{d_i}^i)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and S a collection of L_i-reduced faces of a λ-balanced parapolytope P_λ that satisfy the following conditions.*

(1) Every $F \in S$ contains the vertex $0 \in P_\lambda$.

(2) If $F \in S$, then $L_i(F) \subset S$.

(3) For every $F \in S$ with empty $M_i(F)$ there exists $F' \in S$ with nonempty $M_i(F')$ such that $F_c \subset \Gamma_c$ for some $\Gamma \in M_i(F')$ and some $c \in F^\circ$.

(4) The sets $\mathfrak{S} := \bigcup_{F \in S} F$ and $M_i(\mathfrak{S}) := \bigcup_{F \in S} \bigcup_{E \in M_i(F)} E$ have the same image under π_i, i.e., $\pi_i(\mathfrak{S}) = \pi_i(M_i(\mathfrak{S}))$.

Then we have

$$D_{\alpha_i}\left(e^{w_0\lambda}\sum_{x\in\mathfrak{S}\cap\mathbb{Z}^d}e^{p(x)}\right)=e^{w_0\lambda}\sum_{M_i(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathbb{Z}^d}e^{p(x)}.$$

Proof. Every $x\in P_\lambda$ can be written uniquely as $\pi_i(x)+z$ where $z\in\Pi_c$. Since $p(x)=p(\pi_i(x))+\sigma_i(z)\alpha_i$ we get

$$\sum_{x\in\mathfrak{S}\cap\mathbb{Z}^d}e^{p(x)}=\sum_{c\in\pi_i(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d-d_i}}e^{p(c)}\sum_{z\in\mathfrak{S}_c\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d_i}}t^{\sigma_i(z)},$$

where $t:=e^{\alpha_i}$. Note that $D_{\alpha_i}(e^{p(c)+w_0\lambda}t^{\sigma_i(z)})=e^{p(c)+w_0\lambda}T_{\Pi_c}(t^{\sigma_i(z)})$ because P_λ is λ-balanced. Hence,

$$D_{\alpha_i}\left(e^{w_0\lambda}\sum_{c\in\pi_i(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d-d_i}}e^{p(c)}\sum_{z\in\mathfrak{S}_c\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d_i}}t^{\sigma_i(z)}\right)=e^{w_0\lambda}\sum_{c\in\pi_i(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d-d_i}}e^{p(c)}T_{\Pi_c}\left(\sum_{z\in\mathfrak{S}_c\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d_i}}t^{\sigma_i(z)}\right)$$

where T_{Π_c} is the operator defined in Section 2. By [KST, Proposition 6.10], which is applicable because of hypotheses (1)–(3), we get

$$T_{\Pi_c}\left(\sum_{z\in\mathfrak{S}_c\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d_i}}t^{\sigma_i(z)}\right)=\sum_{z\in M_i(\mathfrak{S})_c\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d_i}}t^{\sigma_i(z)}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{c\in\pi_i(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d-d_i}}e^{p(c)}T_{\Pi_c}\left(\sum_{z\in\mathfrak{S}_c\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d_i}}t^{\sigma_i(z)}\right)=\sum_{c\in\pi_i(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d-d_i}}e^{p(c)}\sum_{z\in M_i(\mathfrak{S})_c\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d_i}}t^{\sigma_i(z)}$$

Finally, since $\pi_i(\mathfrak{S})=\pi_i(M_i(\mathfrak{S}))$ by (4) we get

$$\sum_{c\in\pi_i(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d-d_i}}e^{p(c)}\sum_{z\in M_i(\mathfrak{S})_c\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d_i}}t^{\sigma_i(z)}=\sum_{M_i(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathbb{Z}^d}e^{p(x)}.$$

□

This theorem gives an inductive algorithm for realizing every Demazure character as the exponential sum over the unions of certain faces of P_λ if P_λ satisfies an extra assumption.

Definition 10. A λ-balanced parapolytope $P_\lambda\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ with the lowest vertex 0 is called *admissible* if $\dim P\cap\mathbb{R}^{d_i}\le 1$ for all $i=1,\dots,r$.

Remark 3.5. DDO polytopes of [K13, Section 3] are admissible (see the discussion at the end of [K13, Section 4.3]). In particular, polytopes $\mathcal{GZ}_\lambda-a_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ are admissible, which is easy to check directly.

We now discuss the algorithm. Let $B \subset G$ be a Borel subgroup, and $X = G/B$ complete flag variety. For an element $w \in W$ of the Weyl group, denote by $X_w = \overline{BwB/B}$ the Schubert variety corresponding to w. We will also consider the opposite Schubert varieties $X^w = \overline{B^- wB/B}$ where $B^- \subset G$ denotes the opposite Borel subgroup. Note that Schubert cycles $[X^{w_0 w}]$ and $[X_w]$ coincide in $H^*(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$. Recall that with a dominant weight λ of G, one can associate a G-linear line bundle L_λ on the complete flag variety $X = G/B$ so that $H^0(X, L_\lambda) = V_\lambda^*$ as G-modules.

The *Demazure B-submodule* $V^+_{\lambda,w}$ can be defined as $H^0(X_w, L_\lambda|_{X_w})^*$. Similarly, *Demazure B^--submodule* $V^-_{\lambda,w}$ can be defined as $H^0(X_w, L_\lambda|_{X^w})^*$. Let $\chi_w(\lambda)$ and $\chi^w(\lambda)$ denote the characters of $V^+_{\lambda,w}$ and $V^-_{\lambda,w}$, respectively (they are called *Demazure characters*). It is easy to check that $w_0 \chi_w(\lambda) = \chi^{w_0 w}(\lambda)$. Let $s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_\ell}$ be a reduced decomposition of $w_0 w w_0^{-1}$ such that $(j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$ is a subword of $(i_1, \dots, i_d)$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $P_\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an admissible λ-balanced parapolytope, and $\mathfrak{S}_w \subset P_\lambda$ the union of all faces produced from the vertex $0 \in P_\lambda$ by applying successively the operations $M_{j_\ell}, \dots, M_{j_1}$. Suppose that for every $1 < k \leq \ell$, the collection of faces $M_{j_k} \dots M_{j_\ell}(0)$ satisfies conditions (3) and (4) of Theorem 3.4. Then*

$$\chi^{w_0 w}(\lambda) = e^{w_0 \lambda} \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{S}_w \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{p(x)}.$$

Proof. By the Demazure character formula [A] we have

$$\chi^{w_0 w}(\lambda) = D_{\alpha_{j_1}} \dots D_{\alpha_{j_\ell}} e^{w_0 \lambda}.$$

We now proceed by induction applying Theorem 3.4 repeatedly to the right hand side. Note that conditions (1) and (2) of this theorem are fulfilled for $M_{i_k} \dots M_{i_1}(0)$ for all $k < \ell$. Indeed, if a face Γ contains 0 then all faces in $M_i(\Gamma)$ contain 0 because P_λ is admissible, and by Remark 2.4 the mitosis applied to a union of L-classes produces a union of L-classes. □

For $G = GL_n$ and $\mathcal{GZ}_\lambda - a_\lambda$, this corollary reduces to [KST, Theorem 5.1] and holds for all $w \in W$. It is easy to check that for $G = Sp_4$ and $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$, conditions of Corollary 3.6 are also satisfied for all w. More generally, condition (4) is satisfied for all w if P_λ is a DDO polytope of [K13, Theorem 3.6] (simply by construction of these polytopes). Condition (3) is trickier to check as the case of Gelfand-Zetlin polytopes shows (see [KST, Lemma 6.13]). Whenever Corollary 3.6 holds for all $w \in W$, the general results of [KST, Section 2] on polytope rings allow one to model Schubert calculus on G/B by intersecting faces of P_λ. For GL_n and Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes this was done in [KST], and the example with Sp_4 and $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ will be considered in the next section.

4. Sp_4 EXAMPLE

We now apply the results of the preceding section to Sp_4 and the symplectic DDO polytope $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ from Example 2.9. We explain an algebro-geometric meaning of $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ and outline applications of Corollary 3.6 to the Schubert calculus on Sp_4/B.

4.1. **DDO polytope as Newton–Okounkov body.** First, let us discuss the algebro-geometric interpretation of the symplectic DDO polytope. Recall that α_1 denotes the shorter root and α_2 denotes the longer one. Let ω_1, ω_2 be the corresponding fundamental weights, and $\lambda = \lambda_1\omega_1 + \lambda_2\omega_2$ a dominant weight of Sp_4. We are going to identify $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ with the Newton-Okounkov polytope of L_λ for a natural geometric valuation v on X.

To define the valuation v we introduce coordinates on an open Schubert cell in X. Choose a basis in $\mathbb{C}^4$ so that $\omega := e_1^* \wedge e_4^* + e_2^* \wedge e_3^*$ is the symplectic form preserved by Sp_4. Points in X can be identified with *isotropic* complete flags $(V^1 \subset V^2 \subset V^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^4)$. A flag is *isotropic* if $\omega|_{V^2} = 0$ and $V^3 = V^{1\perp} := \{v \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid \omega(v,u) = 0\ \forall u \in V^1\}$. Taking projectivization we also identify points in X with projective partial flags $(a = \mathbb{P}(V^1) \in l = \mathbb{P}(V^2))$. Fix the flag $(a_0, l_0) \in X$ where $a_0 = (1:0:0:0)$ and $l_0 = \langle a_0, (0:1:0:0)\rangle$, i.e., (a_0, l_0) is the fixed point for the upper-triangular Borel subgroup $B \subset Sp_4$. The open Schubert cell in X with respect (a_0, l_0) consists of all (a, l) such that (a_0, l_0) and (a, l) are in general position (i.e., $a_0 \notin l$, $a \notin l_0$, $l_0 \cap l = \varnothing$ etc). The Schubert varieties with respect to (a_0, l_0) can be described as follows:

$$X_{\mathrm{id}} = \{(a_0, l_0)\}; \quad X_{s_1} = \{l = l_0\}; \quad X_{s_2} = \{a = a_0\};$$
$$X_{s_1s_2} = \{a \in l_0\}; \quad X_{s_2s_1} = \{a_0 \in l\}; \quad X_{s_1s_2s_1} = \{l \cap l_0 \neq \varnothing\};$$
$$X_{s_2s_1s_2} = \{a \in a_0^\perp\}; \quad X_{s_1s_2s_1s_2} = X_{s_2s_1s_2s_1} = X.$$

Define coordinates on the open Schubert cell:

$$a = (y + xz : z : -x : 1); \quad l = \langle a, (z + xt : t : 1 : 0)\rangle.$$

These coordinates are chosen so that the flag $\{x = y = z = t = 0\} \subset \{x = y = z = 0\} \subset \{x = y = 0\} \subset \{x = 0\} \subset X$ coincides with the flag of translated Schubert subvarieties:

$$s_1s_2s_1s_2X_{id} \subset s_1s_2s_1X_{s_2} \subset s_1s_2X_{s_1s_2} \subset s_1X_{s_2s_1s_2} \subset X$$

(after intersecting with the open Schubert cell). The flag corresponds to the decomposition $\underline{w_0} = s_1s_2s_1s_2$, and the coordinates (x, y, z, t) come naturally if one considers the Bott–Samelson variety $\widetilde{X}_{\underline{w_0}}$ (see [Ka13, Section 2.2]). Fix the lexicographic ordering on monomials in x, y, z, t, i.e. , $x^{k_1}y^{k_2}z^{k_3}t^{k_4} \succ x^{l_1}y^{l_2}z^{l_3}t^{l_4}$ iff there exists $j \leq 4$ such that $k_i = l_i$ for $i < j$ and $k_j > l_j$. Let $v := v^{\underline{w_0}}$ denote the lowest order term valuation on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ associated with the flag and ordering (cf. [An, Section 6.4], [Ka13, Remark 2.3]), and $\Delta_v(X, L_\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ the Newton–Okounkov convex body corresponding to X, L_λ and v (see [KaKh] for a definition). We fix coordinates (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) in $\mathbb{R}^4$ so that $v(x^{k_1}y^{k_2}z^{k_3}t^{k_4}) = (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$. The valuation v is natural from a geometric viewpoint: if $v(f) = (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$ then k_1 is

the order of vanishing of f along the hypersurface $\{x=0\}$, while k_2 is the order of vanishing of $(x^{-k_1}f)|_{\{x=0\}}$ along the hypersurface $\{x=y=0\}\subset\{x=0\}$ and so on.

Proposition 4.1. *Define a unimodular linear transformation of* $\mathbb{R}^4$ *by the formula*

$$\varphi:(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4)\mapsto(-y_1,-y_1-y_2,y_3+2y_4,y_4).$$

Then $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda=\varphi(\Delta_v(X,L_\lambda))+(\lambda_1,\lambda_1+\lambda_2,0,0)$. *In particular,* $\Delta_v(X,L_\lambda)$ *can be described by inequalities:*

$$0\le y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4;\quad y_1\le\lambda_1;\quad 2(y_1+y_2)+y_3+2y_4\le 2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2);$$

$$y_1+y_2+y_3+2y_4\le\lambda_1+2\lambda_2;\quad y_4\le\lambda_2$$

Proof. Note that $|\mathcal{SP}_\lambda\cap\mathbb{Z}^4|=\dim V_\lambda$ as polynomials in λ by [K13, Theorem 3.6]. Comparing the highest degree homogeneous parts in λ_1 and λ_2 on both sides and using Hilbert's theorem we get

$$\operatorname{volume}(\mathcal{SP}_\lambda)=\frac{1}{4!}\deg p_\lambda(Sp_4/B),$$

where $p_\lambda: Sp_4/B\to\mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$ is the projective embedding of the flag variety corresponding to the weight λ. Hence, to compare $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ and $\Delta:=\varphi(\Delta_v(X,L_\lambda))+(\lambda_1,\lambda_1+\lambda_2,0,0)$ it is enough to show that $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda\subset\Delta$. Since both convex bodies have the same volumes the inclusion will imply the exact equality.

We now check that $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda\subset\Delta$. There is a natural embedding $X\hookrightarrow\mathbb{P}^3\times IG(2,4)$; $(a,l)\in a\times l$, where $IG(2,4)$ is the Grassmannian of isotropic planes in $\mathbb{C}^4$. Let p_{ω_1}, p_{ω_2} denote the projections of X to the first and second factor, respectively. Then $L_{\omega_1}=p_{\omega_1}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ and $L_{\omega_2}=p_{\omega_2}^*\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)$ where $\pi: IG(2,4)\to\mathbb{P}^4$ is the Plücker embedding. Hence, $H^0(X,L_{\omega_1})=\langle 1,-x,y+xz,z\rangle$ and $H^0(X,L_{\omega_2})=\langle 1,-(y+2xz+x^2t),z+xt,yt-z^2,t\rangle$. By taking the lowest order terms of basis sections we get that $\Delta_v(X,L_{\omega_1})$ contains the simplex with the vertices

$$(0,0,0,0),(1,0,0,0),(0,1,0,0),(0,0,1,0)$$

and $\Delta_v(X,L_{\omega_2})$ contains the simplex with the vertices

$$(0,0,0,0),(0,1,0,0),(0,0,2,0),(0,0,0,1).$$

It is easy to check that φ takes these two simplices to $\mathcal{SP}_{\omega_1}-(1,1,0,0)$ and $\mathcal{SP}_{\omega_2}-(0,1,0,0)$, respectively. Since $L_\lambda=L_{\omega_1}^{\otimes\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\omega_2}^{\otimes\lambda_2}$ the super-additivity of Newton–Okounkov bodies (see [KaKh, Theorem 4.9(3)]) implies that $\Delta_v(X,L_\lambda)$ contains the Minkowski sum $\lambda_1\Delta_v(X,L_{\omega_1})+\lambda_2\Delta_v(X,L_{\omega_2})$. Hence, Δ contains $\lambda_1\mathcal{SP}_{\omega_1}+\lambda_2\mathcal{SP}_{\omega_2}=\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ as desired. □

Example 4.2. Take $\lambda=\rho$, i.e., $\lambda_1=\lambda_2=1$. The projective embedding $p_\rho: Sp_4/B\to\mathbb{P}(V_\rho)$ comes from the composition of maps

$$Sp_4/B\hookrightarrow\mathbb{P}^3\times IG(2,4)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\times\pi}\mathbb{P}^3\times\mathbb{P}^4\xrightarrow{\text{Segre}}\mathbb{P}^{19}.$$

The image of Sp_4/B is contained in $\mathbb{P}(V_\rho) \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}$. In coordinates (x, y, z, t), the embedding $Sp_4/B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_\rho) \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}$ takes the point (x, y, z, t) to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -x \\ y + xz \\ z \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -(y + 2xz + x^2t) & z + xt & yt - z^2 & t \end{pmatrix}$$

Applying the valuation v we get all $16 = \dim V_\rho$ integer points in $\mathcal{SP}_\rho$ (vertices of $\mathcal{SP}_\rho$ are underlined).

$$\underline{(0,0,0,0)}, (0,1,0,0), (0,0,1,0), (0,0,2,0), \underline{(0,0,0,1)},$$
$$\underline{(1,0,0,0)}, \underline{(1,1,0,0)}, (1,0,1,0), \underline{(1,0,2,0)}, \underline{(1,0,0,1)},$$
$$\underline{(0,2,0,0)}, (0,1,1,0), \underline{(0,1,2,0)}, \underline{(0,1,0,1)},$$
$$\underline{(0,0,3,0)}, \underline{(0,0,1,1)}.$$

Remark 4.3. Similar arguments can be applied to the valuation $v' := v^{\underline{w_0}'}$ corresponding to the decomposition $\underline{w_0}' = s_2s_1s_2s_1$, i.e., to the flag of translated Schubert subvarieties

$$s_2s_1s_2s_1X_{id} \subset s_2s_1s_2X_{s_1} \subset s_2s_1X_{s_2s_1} \subset s_2X_{s_1s_2s_1} \subset X.$$

It is easy to check that the Newton–Okounkov body $\Delta_{v'}(X, L_\lambda)$ is obtained from $\Delta_v(X, L_\lambda)$ by the unimodular linear transformation $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mapsto (y_4, y_3, y_2, y_1)$. This agrees with the fact that symplectic DDO polytopes corresponding to $\underline{w_0}$ and $\underline{w_0}'$ are also the same up to an affine transformation (see [K13, Example 3.4]).

Remark 4.4. In [Ka13], the Newton–Okounkov bodies of flag varieties for a different valuation $v_{\underline{w_0}}$ were identified with string polytopes of [L]. Namely, $v_{\underline{w_0}}$ is the highest term valuation associated with the flag of Schubert subvarieties corresponding to the terminal subwords of $\underline{w_0}$. For Sp_4 and $\underline{w_0} = s_1s_2s_1s_2$, this is the flag $X_{\mathrm{id}} \subset X_{s_2} \subset X_{s_1s_2} \subset X_{s_2s_1s_2} \subset X$. By Remark 3.3, the polytopes $\Delta_{v_{\underline{w_0}}}(X, L_\lambda)$ and $\Delta_{v^{\underline{w_0}}}(X, L_\lambda)$ are not combinatorially equivalent (they have different number of vertices). In particular, one can not expect a straightforward relation between valuations $v_{\underline{w_0}}$ an $v^{\underline{w_0}}$ (cf. [Ka13, Remark 2.3]).

4.2. **Newton–Okounkov polytopes of Schubert varieties.** We now identify (the unions of) faces of $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ obtained in Example 2.9 with generalized Newton–Okounkov polytopes of Schubert subvarieties of X. This allows us to extend results of [KST] on Schubert calculus in terms of polytope rings from Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes and GL_n to the symplectic DDO polytope $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ and Sp_4. A different extension was previously obtained in [I] for the string polytopes of Sp_4 associated with $\underline{w_0} = s_2s_1s_2s_1$ (this polytope coincides up to a unimodular change of coordinates with the *symplectic Gelfand–Zetlon polytope* [L, Corollary 6.2]).

We say that the union of faces $\Delta_w = \bigcup_{F \subset \mathcal{SP}_\lambda} F$ is a *generalized Newton–Okounkov polytope* of a Schubert subvariety X_w if $|\Delta_w \cap \mathbb{Z}^4| = \dim H^0(X_w, L_\lambda|_{X_w})$ as polynomials in λ. In particular, $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda = \Delta_{w_0}$ and any vertex of $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ is a valid choice for Δ_{id}. Corollary 3.6 immediately yields the following choices for the other Schubert varieties:

$$\Delta_{s_1} = H_2^+ \cap H_3^+ \cap H_4; \quad \Delta_{s_2 s_1} = H_3^+ \cap H_4^+; \quad \Delta_{s_1 s_2 s_1} = H_4^+;$$

$$\Delta_{s_2} = H_1^+ \cap H_3^+ \cap H_4^+; \quad \Delta_{s_1 s_2} = (H_1^+ \cap H_4)^+ \cup (H_2^+ \cap H_4^+); \quad \Delta_{s_2 s_1 s_2} = H_1^+ \cup H_2^+ \cup H_3^+,$$

where $H_1^+, \ldots, H_4^+$ denote the facets of $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ given by equations $y_1 = 0$, $2y_2 = y_3$, $y_3 = 2y_4$, $y_4 = 0$, respectively. Applying results of [KST, Section 2] and [Ka11, Theorem 4.1] to $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ we can multiply Schubert cycles in $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ by intersecting their generalized Newton–Okounkov polytopes if the latter are transverse. For instance,

$$[X_{s_1 s_2 s_1}] \cdot [X_{s_2 s_1 s_2}] = [\Delta_{s_1 s_2 s_1} \cap \Delta_{s_2 s_1 s_2}] = [\Delta_{s_1 s_2} \cup \Delta_{s_2 s_1}] = [X_{s_1 s_2}] + [X_{s_2 s_1}].$$

Using techniques of [KST, Section 2] we can realize the Schubert calculus on X in terms of $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$. Namely, [KST, Formula (1)] gives four linear relations between (equivalence classes of) facets of $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$:

$$[H_1^+] + [H_2^-] = [H_1^-]; \quad 2[H_2^+] + [H_3^-] = [H_2^-];$$

$$[H_2^+] + [H_3^-] = [H_3^+]; \quad 2[H_3^+] + [H_4^-] = [H_4^+],$$

where $H_1^+, \ldots, H_4^+$ denote the facets of $\mathcal{SP}_\lambda$ given by equations $y_1 = \lambda_1$, $y_2 = y_1 + \lambda_2$, $y_3 = y_2 + \lambda_2$, $y_4 = \lambda_2$, respectively. Using these relations we can get new generalized Newton–Okounkov polytopes, e.g.

$$\Delta'_{s_1 s_2 s_1} = H_2^- \cup H_3^- \cup H_4^-; \quad \Delta'_{s_2 s_1 s_2} = H_1^-,$$

such that the intersections $\Delta_v \cap \Delta'_w$ are transverse for all v and w.

5. Combinatorics of geometric mitosis and open questions

We now discuss combinatorics of mitosis on admissible balanced parapolytopes. We outline a combinatorial algorithm for generating faces that appear in Corollary 3.6. For Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes, this algorithm reduces to mitosis of [KnM] on pipe dreams. Generalizing combinatorics of Example 2.9 we define mitosis on *skew pipe dreams* for Sp_{2n}. In the end of this section, we formulate open questions.

5.1. **Mitosis on vertex cone.** Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an admissible λ-balanced parapolytope with the lowest vertex 0. Since the faces that appear in Corollary 3.6 are obtained from the vertex $0 \in P$ by mitosis operations they contain 0. Hence, to describe these faces it is enough to consider the combinatorics of the vertex cone C_0 of P at 0 and not the whole P. Recall that the *vertex cone* C_a of a vertex $a \in P$ by definition consists of all $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $a + \lambda(b - a) \in P$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_{d'}$ be the facets of C_0. Note that $d' \geq d$, and 0 is a simple vertex of P if and only if $d = d'$. Facets H_j correspond to homogeneous inequalities $l_j \geq 0$ that define C_0.

Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and consider $c \in \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{R}^{d_i}$. Since P is a parapolytope we have that $\Pi_c := P \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i})$ is given by inequalities $\mu_j^i(c) \le x_j^i \le \nu_j^i(c)$ for $j = 1,\dots, i_d$, where $\mu_j^i(c)$ are linear functions. If P is admissible then the parallelepiped Π_0 is a segment (or a point if $(\lambda, \alpha_1) = 0$) given by inequality $0 \le x_1^i \le (\lambda, \alpha_1)$ and equalities $x_j^i = 0$ for $j = 2,\dots, d_i$. So $\mu_1^i(0) = \mu_j^i(0) = \nu_j^i(0) = 0$ for all $j \ge 2$, and functions $\mu_j^i(c)$ and $\nu_j^i(c)$ are all homogeneous except for possibly $\nu_1^i(c)$. In particular, $U_c := C_0 \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i})$ is given by inequalities $\mu_j^i(c) \le x_j^i \le \nu_j^i(c)$ for $j = 2,\dots, i_d$ and $\mu_1^i \le x_j^i$, that is, U_c is almost a parallelepiped: it might be not bounded only in x_1^i-direction (if $\nu_1^i(c) \ne \mu_1^i(c)$). Note that mitosis on parallelepipeds defined in Section 2 never produces faces that lie in the facet $x_1 = \nu_1$ (unless $\mu_1 = \nu_1$). Hence, the definition of mitosis on parallelepipeds goes verbatim for the faces of U_c.

Let $\Gamma \subset C_0$ be a face. Choose $c \in \Gamma^\circ$. Choose facets $H_{j_1},\dots, H_{j_\ell}$ of C_0 such that every face of U_c can be uniquely represented as the intersection of U_c with some of these facets. In particular, $\Gamma_c = H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_k} \cap U_c$ for some $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{j_1, \dots, j_\ell\}$, hence, $\Gamma = H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_k} \cap P$. Then mitosis on U_c tells us which facets in $\Gamma = H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_k}$ should be deleted and which facets added in order to get all faces in $M_i(\Gamma)$. We get a purely combinatorial operation M_i on the subsets of the set $\{H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_\ell}\}$. Facets of C_0 and all operations M_i can be encoded by diagrams similar to pipe dreams. Usual pipe dreams correspond to the case when C_0 is a vertex cone of the Gelfand–Zetlin polytope, or equivalently, C_0 is the cone of adapted strings in type A (see [L, Theorem 5.1]).

Below we consider a new combinatorial algorithm that arises from the geometric mitosis on the cone of adapted strings in type C.

5.2. **Mitosis on skew pipe dreams.** Let $G = Sp_{2n}$, i.e., $r = n$ and $d = n^2$. Take the reduced decomposition $\underline{w_0} = (s_n s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1 s_2 \dots s_{n-1} s_n) \dots (s_2 s_1 s_2)(s_1)$. Then $\mathbb{R}^{n^2} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n-2} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n-4} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$. Note that from now on s_1 corresponds to the longer root in accordance with [L]. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ be a parapolytope with the lowest vertex 0 such that the vertex cone C_0 is defined by inequalities

$$0 \le x_2^i \le x_4^{i-1} \le x_6^{i-2} \le \dots \le x_{2i-2}^2 \le x_i^1 \le x_{2i-3}^2 \le \dots \le x_5^{i-2} \le x_3^{i-1} \le x_1^i \qquad (*)$$

for all $i = 1,\dots, n$. There are n^2 inequalities in $(*)$, in particular, 0 is a simple vertex of C_0. The cone C_0 is exactly the cone of adapted strings for the decomposition $\underline{w_0}$ (see [L, Theorem 6.1]).

Faces of C_0 can be encoded by *skew pipe dreams*. A *skew pipe dream* of size n is a $(2n-1) \times n$ table whose cells are either empty or filled with $+$. Only cells (i, j) with $n - j < i < n + j$ are allowed to have $+$. When drawing a skew pipe dream we omit cells (i, j) that do not satisfy these inequalities. For instance, all tables of Example 2.9 are skew pipe dreams of size $n = 2$. There is a bijective correspondence between faces of C_0 and skew pipe dreams: to get the skew pipe dream $D(\Gamma)$ corresponding

to a face $\Gamma \subset C_0$ replace an inequality $x_{l'}^{k'} \leq x_l^k$ (or $0 \leq x_l^k$) in $(*)$ by $+$ at cell

$$\begin{cases} (n+k-1, k+\frac{l-1}{2}) & \text{if} \quad l \text{ is odd, } k \neq 1 \\ (n-k+1, k+\frac{l}{2}-1) & \text{if} \quad l \text{ is even, } k \neq 1 \\ (n,l) & \text{if} \quad k=1 \end{cases} \qquad (**)$$

whenever $x_{l'}^{k'} = x_l^k$ (or $0 = x_l^k$) identically on Γ. Table $(**)$ gives a bijection between coordinates x_l^k and (fillable) cells of a skew pipe dream.

Example 5.1. Let $n = 3$. The bijection between cells and coordinates given by $(**)$ is depicted on the left. The skew pipe dream $D(G)$ of the face $\Gamma = \{0 = x_1^1;\ 0 = x_2^2 = x_2^1;\ 0 = x_2^3;\ x_3^2 = x_1^3\}$ is depicted on the right.

The bijection between faces of C_0 and skew pipe dreams transforms geometric mitosis on faces of C_0 into the following combinatorial rule. We use terminology of [M, Section 3]. Given a skew pipe dream D of size n, define

$$\text{start}_i(D) = \min\{S_{n-i+1}, S_{n+i-1} + 1\},$$

where S_j denotes the column index of the leftmost empty cell in row j, i.e.,

$$\text{start}_i(D) = \min\{\min(j \mid (n-i+1, j) \notin D), \min(j \mid (n+i-1, j) \notin D) + 1\},$$

so the $(n \pm (i-1))$-th rows of D are filled solidly with crosses in the region to the right and upward of cell $(\text{start}_i(D) - 1, n+i-1)$. Let

$$\mathcal{J}^-(D) = \{\text{columns } j \text{ strictly to the right of } \text{start}_i(D) \mid (n-i+2, j) \text{ has no cross in } D\}.$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}^+(D) = \{\text{columns } j \text{ strictly to the right of } \text{start}_i(D) \mid (n+i, j) \text{ has no cross in } D\}.$$

For $p \in \mathcal{J}^{\pm}(D)$, we now construct the *offspring* $D_p^{\pm}$ in two or three steps as follows.

(1) If $p \in \mathcal{J}^-(D)$, to construct D_p^- delete the cross at $(n-i+1, p)$ from D. If $p \in \mathcal{J}^+(D)$, to construct D_p^+ delete the cross at $(n+i-1, p)$.
(2) Take all crosses in row $n-i+1$ of $\mathcal{J}^-(D)$ and in row $n+i-1$ of $\mathcal{J}^+(D)$ that are to the right of column p, and move each one down to the empty box below it in row $n-i+2$ and in row $n+i$, respectively.
(3) If $p \notin \mathcal{J}^-(D) \cap \mathcal{J}^+(D)$ or $i = 1$, then we are done with both D_p^- and D_p^+. Otherwise, an additional step is required to construct D_p^+: move the cross at $(n-i+1, p)$ to the empty box below it in row $n-i+2$.

Definition 11. The i-th mitosis operator sends a skew pipe dream D to

$$\text{mitosis}_i(D) = \{D_p^- \mid p \in \mathcal{J}^-(D)\} \cup \{D_p^+ \mid p \in \mathcal{J}^+(D)\}.$$

Note that the i-th mitosis affects only rows $n \pm (i-1)$, $n-i+2$ and $n+i$, and $\text{mitosis}_i(D)$ is empty if both $\mathcal{J}^+$ and $\mathcal{J}^-$ are empty. It is easy to check that under the above bijection between faces of C_0 and skew pipe dreams we have

$$\text{mitosis}_i(D(\Gamma)) = M_i(\Gamma).$$

In particular, for $n = 2$ this combinatorial algorithm yields exactly the same tables as in Example 2.9.

Example 5.2. Let $n = 3$ and $i = 2$.



In this example, $\text{start}_i(D) = 1$, $\mathcal{J}^-(D) = \{\text{columns } 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{J}^+(D) = \{\text{column } 2\}$.

5.3. **Open questions.** It is tempting to use combinatorial mitosis on skew pipe dreams to produce an explicit realization of generalized Newton–Okounkov polytopes for Schubert varieties on Sp_{2n}/B by collections of faces of symplectic string polytopes. While such a realization exists by general properties of string polytopes (see [Mi, Section 5.5] for more details) an explicit description is known only for $n = 2$ (see [I]). However, the symplectic string polytopes associated with $\underline{w_0} = (s_n s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1 s_2 \dots s_{n-1} s_n) \dots (s_2 s_1 s_2)(s_1)$ are not parapolytopes with respect to decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{n^2} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n-2} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n-4} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ (already for $n = 2$), so Corollary 3.6 can not be directly applied to them.

As we have seen in Section 4, the symplectic DDO polytope in the case of Sp_4 turned out to be a more suitable candidate for constructing explicit generalized Newton–Okounkov polytopes using Corollary 3.6. Symplectic DDO polytopes can also be constructed for Sp_{2n} using reduced decomposition $\underline{w_0}' = (s_n \dots s_1)^n$ rather than $\underline{w_0}$ (note that for $n = 2$ we have $\underline{w_0} = \underline{w_0}'$). In an ongoing project with M. Padalko, we aim to describe these polytopes explicitly by inequalities, study combinatorics of their geometric mitosis and applications to the Schubert calculus on Sp_{2n}.

It is also interesting to check whether the Newton–Okounkov polytopes of flag varieties associated with the lowest term valuation $v^{\underline{w_0}}$ (see Section 4) are good candidates for applying geometric mitosis to the Schubert calculus. Proposition 4.1 suggests that this might be the case. Recall that theory of Newton–Okounkov polytopes can be used to construct toric degenerations [An]. If a Newton–Okounkov polytope P of the flag variety X satisfies conditions of Corollary 3.6 and X_P is the toric degeneration of X associated with P then it is natural to expect that collections of faces given by geometric mitosis yield degenerations of Schubert varieties to (reduced) toric subvarieties of X_P.

References

[A] H. H. Andersen, *Schubert varieties and Demazure's character formula*, Invent. Math., **79** (1985), no. 3, 611–618

[An] D. Anderson, *Okounkov bodies and toric degenerations*, Math. Ann., **356** (2013), no. 3, 1183-1202

[BZ] A.Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky, *Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties*, Invent. Math. **143** (2001), no.1, 77–128

[GK] M. Grossberg and Y. Karshon, *Bott towers, complete integrability, and the extended character of representations*, Duke Math. J., **76** (1994), no. 1, 23–58.

[BB] N. Bergeron, S. Billey, *RC-graphs and Schubert polynomials*, Experimental Math. **2** (1993), no. 4, 257–269

[I] M. Ilyukhina, *Schubert calculus and geometry of a string polytope for the group Sp_4*, [In Russian], Diploma, Moscow State University, 2012

[Ka11] K. Kaveh, *Note on the Cohomology Ring of Spherical Varieties and Volume Polynomial*, J. Lie Theory, **21** (2011), no. 2, 263–283

[Ka13] K.Kaveh, *Crystal basis and Newton–Okounkov bodies*, arXiv:1101.1687v3 [math.AG]

[KaKh] K. Kaveh, A. Khovanskii, *Newton convex bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory*, Ann. of Math.(2) **176** 2, 925–978

[K10] V. Kiritchenko, *Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes and geometry of flag varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2010), no. 13, 2512–2531

[K13] V.Kiritchenko, *Divided difference operators on convex polytopes*, arXiv:1307.7234 [math.AG], to appear in Adv. Studies in Pure Math.

[KST] V. Kiritchenko, E. Smirnov, V. Timorin, *Schubert calculus and Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes*, Russian Math. Surveys, **67** (2012), no.4, 685–719

[Ko] M. Kogan, *Schubert geometry of flag varieties and Gelfand–Cetlin theory,* Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2000

[KoM] M. Kogan, E. Miller, *Toric degeneration of Schubert varieties and Gelfand-Tsetlin polytopes,* Adv. Math. **193** (2005), no. 1, 1–17

[KnM] A. Knutson and E. Miller, *Gröbner geometry of Schubert polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2), **161** (2005), 1245–1318

[L] P. Littelmann, *Cones, crystals and patterns*, Transform. Groups, **3** (1998), pp. 145–179

[M] E. Miller, *Mitosis recursion for coefficients of Schubert polynomials*, J. Comb. Theory A, **103** (2003), no. 2, 223–235

[Mi] J. Miller, *Okounkov bodies of Borel orbit closures in wonderful group compactifications*, PhD Thesis, Ohio State University, 2014

E-mail address: vkiritch@hse.ru

Laboratory of Algebraic Geometry and Faculty of Mathematics, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Vavilova St. 7, 112312 Moscow, Russia

Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow, Russia